

3-12-14

This is Phil Arnott for community comment on K INS radio.

Well we've all heard and read about the employer mandate in Obama care has been delayed to the year 2016. Internal Revenue Service says that you cannot reduce your workforce because of Obama care but, under penalty of perjury you can reduce your workforce for legitimate business reasons.

The Congressional budget office that there could be a 1% pay cut on middle and low income workers as a result of Obama care. Keep in mind that Obama care was passed by Congress in the year 2009. Since that time there have been some 27 pages of changes by the Obama administration through an executive order without any involvement of Congress.

Similarly, the president, on immigration and their right to health care has enacted regulations without any involvement of Congress and of course that raises the question of whether or not our Constitution is still a living document.

In a recent article in the Wall Street Journal by Michael Barone he says that people learn from their mistakes or at least they can and should and that is the reason all of us should try to learn from the revelations of mistakes about the health care law and health insurance since the passage of Obama care.

Mr. Barone says the founding fathers determined that the federal government would impose no religious test for office and make no law regarding a religious establishment. They provided for a limited central government and a wide free-trade zone in which local cultures could prevail, local preachers could convert and local and temper knowers could innovate. Obama care cuts against this grain. The trouble that has resulted from the architects apparent failures to anticipate the behavior of fellow citizens who don't share their approach to the world and the architects desires to impose their more days such as contraception coverage on a multicultural nation and that is a lesson to national policymakers which is governed lightly if you want to govern this culturally diverse nation

Mr. Barone goes on to write that many of the insurance coverage features are things that people do not want and do not need and to that extent Obama care policies are not insurance but prepayment of routine expenses. He says that apparently many of the uninsured are not interested in prepaying for health insurance anymore than they are interested in prepaying their credit cards. The latest figure I have read is that there are still 31 million people uninsured in this country.

In his article he says that one of the assumptions of the architects is that health insurance will make people healthier. That assumption has been tested in the state of Oregon. In 2008 the state government, with limited Medicaid funds held a lottery to determine which people who were eligible for Medicaid would be enrolled. According to the New England Journal of medicine that after two years there was no significant difference between insured and uninsured in blood sugar level, blood pressure and cholesterol levels. The study in Oregon also proved that the architects were wrong when they said that people with health insurance are more likely to seek care from a doctor and less likely to go to an emergency room but the study showed that those with Medicaid were 40% more likely to go to ~~Emergency Room~~ than those without insurance.

I leave you with a point to ponder: don't you think that after the fall elections that Congress should seriously consider the repeal of Obama care.

This is Phil Arnott for community comment