

w/ a new calendar
the the Rose
Alongside the flip of the calendar page, Rose Parade and Bowl, I notice that ~~locally~~, The New Year brought about a celebration of Kwanzaa and a cancellation of the Women's March. The former was small, but if African Americans want a reason to celebrate ^{the Women's March} that was NOT placed on the front page. Apparently the event was cancelled because the organizers were hearing complaints that the event organizers and speakers were "too white." Lack of diversity means the event became a non-event: and the organizers canned it because they thought it better to do Nothing than offend somebody. That is the precise problem of those who lean left: they tend to spin in circles due to the premium they place in politically correct thinking, identity politics and inclusivity... nostrums that sound good but ultimately mean nothing because they often cancel each other out.

eg. Diversity? how diverse must a march be in order to have credibility? Does someone need to go out and measure just how many whites, blacks, Hispanics and Native Americans are participating. In a perfect leftward leaning world you would have 25% white women, 25% black women, 25% Hispanic and 25% Native American. Wait a minute: what about Asian women? Well, they seem to work hard enough to be well represented among doctors, lawyers and Indian Chiefs these days, so they don't need to march: do they? Or do they?

Then there are those who observed that they could not ^{participate} march because the Women's March did not have enough transgendered or "non binary women"; saying that not all women have female genitalia. So, women who are really men need to be present at these marches so that the women's march is appropriately diverse: how many should there be who are gender dysphoric? The actual percentage of people who are either transgender, or hermaphrodite is less than 1% but assuming they need to be included to make the parade diverse enough, someone will have to count how many people are planning to come, and then establish a precise quota for the the number of transgendered who can participate... etc, etc.

Diversity? How many conservative Republican women were invited to speak at the Women's March? NONE of course, white males? Not a chance; neither would they invite anyone who had any views that differed slightly from the progressive left... Which raises an interesting point. The progressive left celebrates diversity, but they are remarkably intolerant of diverse opinions. So among progressive women, diversity means there is complete homogeneity of thought and gender, but the participants are ^{at the level of} different colors: is that it? No wonder they had to cancel the event; it'll be another 20 years before they can get all the quotas right and hold an event that doesn't offend anybody—except of course, ~~the~~ the white males — who deserve to be offended, because they are all the same: oppressors of minorities and women and everyone who is non binary.

Maybe the fact that the left is in such ^a mental tangle is why we have this current white male in the White House who tends to offend everybody at every possible opportunity?

This has been Dan Price for Community Comment....~~Happy New Year to All.~~